

ALAN J. LAZARUS (State Bar No. 129767)  
KRISTA L. COSNER (State Bar No. 213338)  
DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH LLP  
50 Fremont Street, 20<sup>th</sup> Floor  
San Francisco, California 94105  
Telephone: (415) 591-7500  
Facsimile: (415) 591-7510

Attorneys for Defendants  
SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION dba  
GLAXOSMITHKLINE and McKESSON  
CORPORATION

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

MOHINDER KHANNA

Plaintiff,

v.

SMITHKLINE BEECHAM  
CORPORATION d/b/a  
GLAXOSMITHKLINE, McKESSON  
PHARMACY SYSTEMS, and DOES ONE  
through FIFTEEN, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No. CV-08-1131-MHP

**DECLARATION OF KRISTA L.  
COSNER IN SUPPORT OF MOTION  
BY DEFENDANT SMITHKLINE  
BEECHAM CORPORATION d/b/a  
GLAXOSMITHKLINE TO STAY ALL  
PROCEEDINGS PENDING TRANSFER  
BY THE JUDICIAL PANEL ON  
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION TO  
MDL 1871 (E.D. PA)**

**DATE:** June 9, 2008  
**TIME:** 2:00 p.m.  
**COURTROOM:** 15  
**JUDGE:** Marilyn H. Patel

I, KRISTA L. COSNER, declare:

1. I am an attorney admitted to practice before all courts of the State of California and am an Associate with Drinker Biddle & Reath, LLP, attorneys for SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION dba GLAXOSMITHKLINE ("GSK") in this action. I make this Declaration based on my personal knowledge, in support of Defendant GSK's Motion to Stay All Proceedings Pending Transfer by the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation to MDL 1871 (E.D. PA) in the above-captioned matter. I would and could competently testify to the matters stated in this Declaration if called as a

1 witness.

2 2. A true and accurate copy of the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation's  
3 Transfer Order, *In re Avandia Marketing, Sales Practices and Products Liability*  
4 *Litigation*, MDL 1871 (E.D.P.A.) is attached as **Exhibit A**.

5 3. A true and accurate copy of Case Management Order No. 1, *In re Avandia*  
6 *Products Liability Litigation*, MDL No. 1871 (E.D. Pa. Feb. 28, 2008) (Rufe, J.) is  
7 attached as **Exhibit B**.

8 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that  
9 the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on this 11th day of April, 2008 in San  
10 Francisco, California.

11  
12 /s/ Krista L. Cosner  
KRISTA L. COSNER

## **EXHIBIT A**

10/18/2007 16:21 FAX 2025027

JPML

1002

**MDL 1871**UNITED STATES  
JUDICIAL PANEL ON  
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

7:23 am, Oct 16, 2007

FILED  
CLERK'S OFFICEUNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL  
ON  
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATIONIN RE: AVANDIA MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES  
AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

Sharon Ann Dabon v. GlaxoSmithKline, Inc., )

E.D. Louisiana, C.A. No. 2:07-3041 )

Celenio Cruz-Santana v. GlaxoSmithKline, PLC, et al., )

D. Puerto Rico, C.A. No. 3:07-1461 )

MDL No. 1871

## TRANSFER ORDER

Before the entire Panel<sup>1</sup>: Plaintiff in the action pending in the Eastern District of Louisiana, has moved, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407, to centralize this litigation in the District of Puerto Rico or, alternatively, in the Eastern District of Louisiana. This litigation currently consists of moving plaintiff's action and one action pending in the District of Puerto Rico.<sup>1</sup> Plaintiff in the latter action supports centralization in the District of Puerto Rico. Plaintiffs in potential tag-along actions pending in the Central District of California, the Southern District of Florida, the District of New Jersey, the Southern District of New York, and the District of Puerto Rico have submitted responses in support of centralization. These plaintiffs suggest a variety of fora for transferee district, including the Southern District of Florida (favored by plaintiffs in the action pending in that district), the District of New Jersey (favored by plaintiff in the action pending in that district, as well as plaintiff in the Central District of California action), the Southern District of New York (favored by plaintiffs in eight actions pending in that district), and the District of Puerto Rico (favored by plaintiffs in the action pending in that district). Responding defendant SmithKlineBeecham Corp. d/b/a GlaxoSmithKline (GSK) initially opposed the Section 1407 motion, but now supports centralization in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania.

Judge Heyburn took no part in the disposition of this matter.

<sup>1</sup> The Panel has been notified of 28 additional related actions pending in the Western District of Arkansas, the Central District of California (two actions), the Southern District of Florida (two actions), the Southern District of Illinois, the Southern District of Indiana, the Eastern District of Louisiana, the District of New Jersey, the Eastern District of New York, the Southern District of New York (ten actions), the Northern District of Ohio, the Eastern District of Oklahoma, the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, the District of Puerto Rico, the Eastern District of Tennessee, the Western District of Tennessee, and the Eastern District of Texas (two actions). These actions and any other related actions will be treated as potential tag-along actions. See Rules 7.4 and 7.5, R.P.J.P.M.L., 199 F.R.D. 425, 435-36 (2001).

OFFICIAL FILE COPY

IMAGED OCT 16 2007

PLEADING NO. 22

10/18/2007 18:21 FAX 202502

JPML

12003


- 2 -

On the basis of the papers filed and hearing session held, we find that these actions involve common questions of fact, and that centralization under Section 1407 in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania will serve the convenience of the parties and witnesses and promote the just and efficient conduct of the litigation. Both actions arise from allegations that certain diabetes drugs manufactured by GSK – Avandia and/or two sister drugs containing Avandia (Avandamet and Avandaryl) – cause an increased risk of heart attack and other physical injury, and that GSK failed to provide adequate warnings concerning that risk. Centralization under Section 1407 will eliminate duplicative discovery, avoid inconsistent pretrial rulings, and conserve the resources of the parties, their counsel and the judiciary.

We are also persuaded that the Eastern District of Pennsylvania is an appropriate transferee district for pretrial proceedings in this litigation. GSK's principal place of business is located in that district, and thus many witnesses and documents relevant to the litigation are likely to be found there. In addition, one of the potential tag-along actions was commenced in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407, the two actions are transferred to the Eastern District of Pennsylvania and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Cynthia M. Rufe for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings.

PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION



D. Lowell Jensen  
Acting Chairman

John G. Heyburn II, Chairman\*  
Robert L. Miller, Jr.  
David R. Hansen

J. Frederick Motz  
Kathryn H. Vratil  
Anthony J. Scirica

## **EXHIBIT B**



**B. LIMITATIONS.** This consolidation does not constitute a determination that the actions described in Paragraph One of this Order should be consolidated for trial, nor does it have the effect of making any entity a party to any action in which he, she or it has not been named, served or added in accordance with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

**3. INITIAL CONFERENCE.** An initial pretrial conference will be held for the purpose of addressing matters relating to pretrial and discovery proceedings in these cases. The conference shall be held on **Tuesday, April 8, 2008, at 10:00 a.m.**, in Courtroom 12A of the James A. Byrne United States Courthouse, 601 Market Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

**A. GENERAL RESPONSIBILITIES OF COUNSEL.** Counsel are expected to familiarize themselves with the Manual for Complex Litigation, Fourth ("MCL 4th"), and be prepared at the conference to suggest procedures that will facilitate the expeditious, economical, and just resolution of this litigation.

**B. APPEARANCE AT CONFERENCE.** One attorney representing each party shall appear at the pretrial conference. To minimize costs and facilitate a manageable conference, parties with similar interests may agree to have an attending attorney represent their interest at the conference. Any party appearing through a designated attorney instead of its attorney of record shall serve written notice of such arrangement on the Court at Chambers (Room 12614) **on or before Tuesday, April 1, 2008**. By designating an attorney to represent its interest at the conference, a party will not be precluded from other representation during the litigation, nor will attendance at the conference constitute waiver of any objections to jurisdiction, venue or service.

**C. AGENDA.** The parties shall confer and submit a joint proposed agenda for the conference **on or before Tuesday, April 1, 2008**. In the event the parties cannot agree on particular



items, they shall include in the proposed agenda brief statements of their respective positions. The parties should be guided generally by reference to the items listed in MCL 4th Sections 22.6, 22.61, 22.62, and 22.63, insofar as they are applicable. However, the proposed agenda must include these items: discovery plan, amendment of pleadings, and consideration of class action allegations and motions. The proposed agenda shall be submitted to the Court by filing the original and serving two copies on the Court at Chambers.

**D. PRE-CONFERENCE SUBMISSIONS.** In addition to any notices of designated counsel as described in Paragraph 3.B and the joint proposed agenda described in Paragraph 3.C, the parties shall submit the following items to the Court as specified below.

**1. POSITION STATEMENT.** Plaintiffs and defendant shall submit to the Court at Chambers, **on or before Tuesday, March 25, 2008**, a brief written statement of their preliminary understanding of the facts involved in this litigation and the critical legal and factual issues. These statements will not be filed with the Clerk, will not be binding, will not waive claims or defenses, and may not be offered in evidence for any purpose in later proceedings. The parties' statements shall list all pending motions, as well as all related cases pending in state or federal court, along with their current status, including any discovery taken to date, to the extent known. The parties shall be limited to one such submission for all plaintiffs, and one such submission for defendant.

**2. PROPOSED CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER(S).** The parties shall confer and submit one or more joint proposed case management order(s), as appropriate, **on or before Tuesday, April 1, 2008**. In the event the parties cannot agree on particular items, they shall include in the proposed order(s) brief statements of their respective positions. The proposed order(s)

must include detailed provisions regarding discovery, including e-discovery, insofar as is practicable at this time.

**3. APPLICATIONS / NOMINATIONS.** It is the Court's intent to select liaison counsel for the plaintiffs and liaison counsel for the defendant to perform largely administrative functions, and to appoint a Plaintiffs' Steering Committee to conduct and coordinate the discovery stage of this litigation with the defendant's representatives. Following is a nonexclusive description of the basic responsibilities of liaison counsel and the Plaintiffs' Steering Committee, and of the selection process the Court will use to fill these positions.

a. **LIAISON COUNSEL.** Prior to the initial pretrial conference, counsel for each group of parties whose interests are similarly aligned shall confer and seek consensus on candidates for the position of liaison counsel. Appointment of liaison counsel shall be subject to the approval of the Court. Liaison counsel will be charged with essentially administrative functions. For example, liaison counsel shall be authorized to receive orders and notices from the Court on behalf of all parties within their liaison group and shall be responsible for the preparation and transmittal of copies of such orders and notices to the parties in their liaison group and the performance of other tasks determined by the Court. Liaison counsel shall be required to maintain complete files with copies of all documents served upon them in hard copy or electronic form. Liaison counsel shall make such files available to parties within their liaison group upon request. Liaison counsel are also authorized to receive orders and notices from the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation pursuant to Rule 5.2(e) of the Panel's Rules of Procedure or from the Court on behalf of all parties within their liaison group and shall be responsible for the preparation and transmittal of copies of such orders and notices to the parties in their liaison group. The

expenses incurred in performing the services of liaison counsel shall be shared equally by all members of the liaison group in a manner agreeable to the parties or established by the Court failing such agreement. At the first conference, liaison counsel and the parties should be prepared to discuss any additional matters consistent with the efficient operation of this function.

**On or before Tuesday, March 25, 2008, at 1:00 P.M.,** plaintiffs shall confer and submit three (3) candidates, if possible, for the position of liaison counsel. Each candidate's name shall be submitted to the Court with a current *curriculum vitae* and any other pertinent information for the Court to consider. Applications for the position of liaison counsel which were submitted prior to the entry of this Order must be resubmitted by plaintiffs counsel in order to be considered by the Court.

In accordance with the designation of the defendant, the Court hereby **APPOINTS** Pepper Hamilton LLP as liaison counsel for the defendant. Copies of all correspondence, submissions or filings directed to this Court and related to this Multidistrict Litigation shall be served on the following:

Nina M. Gussack, Esquire  
Pepper Hamilton LLP  
3000 Two Logan Square  
18<sup>th</sup> & Arch Streets  
Philadelphia, PA 19103  
(215) 981-4000 (telephone)  
(215) 981-4750 (fax)  
gussackn@pepperlaw.com\_\_

b. **PLAINTIFFS STEERING COMMITTEE.** The Plaintiffs' Steering Committee ("PSC") shall, at a minimum, have the following responsibilities:

b.1. **DISCOVERY.** The PSC shall:

- : Initiate, coordinate, and conduct all pretrial discovery on behalf of plaintiffs in all actions which are consolidated with the instant Multidistrict Litigation;
- : Develop and propose to the Court schedules for the commencement, execution, and completion of all discovery on behalf of all plaintiffs;
- : Cause to be issued in the name of all plaintiffs the necessary discovery requests, motions, and subpoenas pertaining to any witnesses and documents needed to properly prepare for the trial of relevant issues;
- : Conduct all discovery in a coordinated and consolidated manner on behalf of and for the benefit of all plaintiffs, in a fashion in keeping with practice guidelines to be established in subsequent discovery plans or orders of this Court.

b.2. **HEARINGS AND MEETINGS.** The PSC shall:

- : Call meetings of plaintiffs' counsel for any appropriate purpose, including coordinating responses to questions of other parties or of the Court;
- : Initiate proposals, suggestions, schedules, or joint briefs, and any other appropriate matter(s) pertaining to pretrial proceedings;
- : Examine witnesses and introduce evidence at hearings on behalf of plaintiffs;
- : Communicate on behalf of all plaintiffs at pretrial proceedings and in response to any inquiries by the Court, subject to the right of any plaintiff's counsel to present non-repetitive individual or different positions.

**b.3. MISCELLANEOUS.** In addition, the PSC shall:

: Submit and argue any verbal or written motions presented to the Court on behalf of the PSC and oppose when necessary any motions submitted by the defendant or other parties which involve matters within the sphere of the PSC;

: Negotiate and enter into stipulations with defendant regarding this litigation. All such stipulations must be submitted to the Court for approval, except for purely administrative details. Any attorney not in agreement with a non-administrative stipulation may file with the Court a written objection thereto within ten (10) days after he or she knows or reasonably should have become aware of the stipulation. Failure to timely object shall be deemed a waiver, and the stipulation shall be binding on that party;

: Explore, develop and pursue all settlement options pertaining to any claim or portion thereof of any case filed in this litigation;

: Maintain adequate files of all pretrial matters and have them available, under reasonable terms and conditions, for examination by plaintiffs or their attorneys;

: Prepare periodic status reports summarizing the PSC's work and progress. These reports shall be submitted to the plaintiffs' liaison counsel, who will promptly distribute copies to the other plaintiffs' attorneys;

: Perform any task necessary and proper for the PSC to accomplish its responsibilities as defined or authorized by the Court's orders;

: Reimbursement for costs and/or fees for services will be set at a time and in a manner established by the Court after due notice to all counsel and after a hearing.

Furthermore, **on or before Tuesday, March 25, 2008, at 1:00 P.M.**, applications for PSC positions must be filed as an original with the Clerk's Office of the Eastern District of Pennsylvania. A copy must also be served on the Court and upon counsel named in the Panel Attorney Service List for MDL 1871, attached hereto as "Schedule B," on the day of filing. The main criteria for membership will be willingness and availability to commit to a time-consuming project, ability to work cooperatively with others, and professional experience in this type of litigation. Applications should succinctly address each of the above criteria and any other relevant matters. No submissions longer than three (3) pages will be considered. Only attorneys of record in this litigation may apply.

Objections may be made to the appointment of any applicant. Objections must be in writing, and must be filed with the Clerk in the original **on or before Friday, March 28, 2008**. Objections must be succinct, and must be supported by necessary documentation. Any objection also must be served on the Court and all counsel appearing on Schedule B on the day of filing. Finally, **on or before Tuesday, April 1, 2008**, applicants may reply to any such objection lodged against them. The filing and format requirements for objections apply in full to replies.

**4. PROCEDURAL MATTERS.** Until abrogated or amended by subsequent order of this Court, the following procedures shall govern in this matter.

A. **SERVICE.** Prior to the initial conference, service of all papers shall be made on each of the attorneys on the Panel Attorney Service List for MDL 1871, attached hereto as Schedule B. Any attorney who wishes to have his or her name added to or deleted from the Panel Attorney Service List may do so upon request to the Clerk and notice to all other persons on the service list. Service shall be deemed sufficient if made upon all attorneys on the Panel Attorney

Service List. The parties shall present to the Court at the initial conference a list of attorneys for purposes of service. The list shall include only one attorney for each party separately represented. An office address, email address, fax number and telephone number should be included for each attorney on the list.

**B. EXTENSION AND STAY.** The defendant is granted an extension of time for responding by motion or answer to the complaints until a date to be set by this Court. Pending the initial conference and further orders of this Court, all outstanding discovery proceedings are stayed, and no further discovery shall be initiated. Moreover, all pending motions must be refiled in the master docket case file and re-noticed for resolution on a motion day after the initial conference.

**C. MASTER DOCKET FILE.** The Clerk of Court will maintain a master docket case file styled "In re Avandia Marketing, Sales Practices and Products Liability Litigation" and the identification "MDL No. 1871." When a pleading is intended to be applicable to all actions, this shall be indicated by the words: "This Document Relates to All Cases." When a pleading is intended to apply to less than all cases, this Court's docket number for each individual case to which the document relates shall appear immediately after the words "This Document Relates To."

**D. FILING.** Documents subsequent to the initial complaint shall be filed with the Clerk of this Court and not with the transferor court. **All such pleadings shall be filed electronically through ECF.** Counsel shall take steps to be registered as electronic filers in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania and to familiarize themselves with the Court's administrative procedures for filing as soon as practicable. All entries are to be made on the master docket sheet (2:07-md-01871-CMR) with a notation listing the cases to which the document applies.

E. **DOCKETING.** When an action that properly belongs as a part of this MDL is hereinafter filed in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania or transferred here from another court, the Clerk of this Court shall:

1. File a copy of this Order in the separate file for such action;
2. Make an appropriate entry on the master docket sheet;
3. Mail the attorneys for the plaintiff in the newly filed or transferred case a copy of this Order;

4. Upon the first appearance of any new defendant, mail to the attorneys for such defendant a copy of this Order.

F. **APPEARANCES.** Counsel who appeared in a transferor court prior to transfer need not enter an additional appearance before this Court. Moreover, attorneys admitted to practice and in good standing in any United States District Court are admitted *pro hac vice* in this litigation, and the requirements of Local Rules 83.2.6 and 83.2.7 are waived. Association of local counsel is not required.

G. **REMAND STIPULATIONS.** In the event a case is remanded, the parties shall furnish to the Clerk of Court a stipulation or designation of the contents of the record and furnish all necessary copies of any pleadings filed, so as to enable the transferee clerk to comply with the order of remand.

H. **PRESERVATION.** All parties and their counsel are reminded of their duty, consistent with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, to take reasonable measures to preserve documents, electronically stored information and things (i.e., in cases brought on behalf of decedents, tissue sample or other biological evidence) that are potentially relevant. Upon



appointment of Plaintiffs' Steering Committee, counsel shall meet and confer as to the appropriate scope of preservation and, if unable to reach agreement, shall present their positions to the Court for resolution.

**I. PROTECTIVE ORDER.** Upon appointment of Plaintiffs' Steering Committee, counsel shall meet and confer as to the appropriate terms and conditions of a protective order governing the production of confidential information and documents. If unable to reach agreement, the parties shall present their positions to the Court for resolution.

**5. DISCOVERY.**

**A. FILING OF DISCOVERY REQUESTS.** In accordance with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 5(d), discovery requests and responses are not filed with the Clerk nor sent to the Judge's Chambers, except when specifically ordered by the Court to the extent needed in connection with a motion.

**B. DISCOVERY PLAN.** A discovery schedule will be established at the initial pretrial conference. A substantive discovery plan will be established shortly thereafter, upon due consideration of the proposed case management order(s) to be submitted by counsel.

**6. COMMUNICATION WITH THE COURT.** Unless otherwise ordered by this Court, all substantive communications with the Court shall be in writing, with copies to opposing counsel.

**7. COMMUNICATION BETWEEN COUNSEL.** The Court recognizes that cooperation by and among plaintiffs' counsel and by and among defendant's counsel is essential for the orderly and expeditious resolution of this litigation. The communication of information among and between plaintiffs' counsel and among and between defendant's counsel shall not be deemed a waiver of the attorney-client privilege or the protection afforded attorney work product, and cooperative efforts

contemplated above shall in no way be used against any plaintiff by defendant or against defendant by any plaintiff. Nothing contained in this provision shall be construed to limit the rights of any party or counsel to assert the attorney-client privilege or the attorney work product doctrine.

It is so **ORDERED**.

**BY THE COURT:**

/s/ Cynthia M. Rufe

---

**CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ, J.**

## **SCHEDULE A**

## Civil Cases Report

United States District Court -- Eastern District of Pennsylvania

Filed Report Period: 1/20/2007 - 2/28/2008

Entered Report Period: 1/20/2007 - 2/28/2008

Case Number/ Title	Case Dates	Days Pending	Notes
2:07-cv-04550-CMR <b>DABON v. GLAXOSMITHKLINE INC</b>	Case filed: 10/30/2007	121	Cause: 28:1332 Diversity- Product Liability NOS: 365 Office: Philadelphia Jurisdiction: Diversity Presider: CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ Jury demand: None Case flags: MDL-1871
2:07-cv-04551-CMR <b>CRUZ-SANTANA v. GLAXO SMITH KLINE, PLC et al</b>	Case filed: 10/30/2007	121	Cause: 28:1332 Diversity- Product Liability NOS: 365 Office: Philadelphia Jurisdiction: Diversity Presider: CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ Jury demand: None Case flags: MDL-1871, SPECIAL
2:07-cv-04572-CMR <b>FARMER et al v. SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION</b>	Case filed: 10/31/2007	120	Cause: 28:1332 Diversity- Personal Injury NOS: 365 Office: Philadelphia Jurisdiction: Diversity Presider: CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ Jury demand: None Case flags: MDL-1871, SPECIAL
2:07-cv-04860-CMR <b>BENNETT et al v. SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION</b>	Case filed: 11/19/2007	101	Cause: 28:1332 Diversity- Product Liability NOS: 365 Office: Philadelphia Jurisdiction: Federal Question Presider: CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ

			Jury demand: None Case flags: MDL-1871, SPECIAL
2:07-cv-04957-CMR <b>MASON v. SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION et al</b>	Case filed: 11/27/2007	93	Cause: 28:1332 Diversity- Product Liability NOS: 365 Office: Philadelphia Jurisdiction: Diversity Presider: CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ Jury demand: Plaintiff Case flags: MDL-1871, SPECIAL
2:07-cv-04958-CMR <b>IZQUIERDO v. SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION et al</b>	Case filed: 11/27/2007	93	Cause: 28:1332 Diversity- Personal Injury NOS: 365 Office: Philadelphia Jurisdiction: Diversity Presider: CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ Jury demand: None Case flags: MDL-1871, SPECIAL
2:07-cv-04959-CMR <b>CARTWRIGHT et al v. GLAXOSMITHKLINE</b>	Case filed: 11/27/2007	93	Cause: 28:1332 Diversity- Personal Injury NOS: 365 Office: Philadelphia Jurisdiction: Diversity Presider: CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ Jury demand: None Case flags: MDL-1871, STANDARD
2:07-cv-04960-CMR <b>KNIGHT v. SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION</b>	Case filed: 11/27/2007	93	Cause: 28:1332 Diversity- Fraud NOS: 370 Office: Philadelphia Jurisdiction: Diversity Presider: CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ Jury demand: None Case flags: MDL-1871, STANDARD
2:07-cv-04961-CMR <b>BASS v. GLAXOSMITHKLINE PLC et al</b>	Case filed: 11/27/2007	93	Cause: 28:1332 Diversity- Personal Injury NOS: 365 Office: Philadelphia

			<i>Jurisdiction:</i> Diversity <i>Presider:</i> CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ <i>Jury demand:</i> None <i>Case flags:</i> MDL-1871, SPECIAL
2:07-cv-04962-CMR <b>CUCCIA v. GLAXOSMITHKLINE PLC et al</b>	<i>Case filed:</i> 11/27/2007	93	<i>Cause:</i> 28:1332 Diversity-Product Liability <i>NOS:</i> 365 <i>Office:</i> Philadelphia <i>Jurisdiction:</i> Diversity <i>Presider:</i> CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ <i>Jury demand:</i> None <i>Case flags:</i> MDL-1871, SPECIAL
2:07-cv-04963-CMR <b>D'APUZZO v. JOHN DOE NOS. 1 THROUGH 20 et al</b>	<i>Case filed:</i> 11/27/2007	93	<i>Cause:</i> 28:1332 Diversity-Product Liability <i>NOS:</i> 365 <i>Office:</i> Philadelphia <i>Jurisdiction:</i> Diversity <i>Presider:</i> CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ <i>Jury demand:</i> None <i>Case flags:</i> MDL-1871, SPECIAL
2:07-cv-04964-CMR <b>MIRACOLO v. GLAXOSMITHKLINE, PLC et al</b>	<i>Case filed:</i> 11/27/2007	93	<i>Cause:</i> 28:1332 Diversity-Product Liability <i>NOS:</i> 365 <i>Office:</i> Philadelphia <i>Jurisdiction:</i> Diversity <i>Presider:</i> CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ <i>Jury demand:</i> None <i>Case flags:</i> MDL-1871, SPECIAL
2:07-cv-04965-CMR <b>SCHRANK v. SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION</b>	<i>Case filed:</i> 11/27/2007	93	<i>Cause:</i> 28:1332 Diversity-Product Liability <i>NOS:</i> 365 <i>Office:</i> Philadelphia <i>Jurisdiction:</i> Diversity <i>Presider:</i> CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ <i>Jury demand:</i> None <i>Case flags:</i> MDL-1871, SPECIAL
2:07-cv-04966-CMR	<i>Case filed:</i> 11/27/2007	93	<i>Cause:</i> 28:1332 Diversity-

<b>GRIFFIN v. SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION GLAXOSMITHKLINE et al</b>			Product Liability NOS: 365 Office: Philadelphia Jurisdiction: Diversity Presider: CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ Jury demand: None Case flags: MDL-1871, SPECIAL
2:07-cv-04968-CMR <b>SPALLONE v. SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION, GLAXOSMITHKLINE et al</b>	Case filed: 11/27/2007	93	Cause: 28:1332 Diversity- Product Liability NOS: 365 Office: Philadelphia Jurisdiction: Diversity Presider: CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ Jury demand: None Case flags: MDL-1871, SPECIAL
2:07-cv-04970-CMR <b>MADISON v. SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION, INCORPORATED et al</b>	Case filed: 11/27/2007	93	Cause: 28:1332 Diversity- Personal Injury NOS: 365 Office: Philadelphia Jurisdiction: Diversity Presider: CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ Jury demand: None Case flags: MDL-1871, STANDARD
2:07-cv-04972-CMR <b>PITT v. SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION et al</b>	Case filed: 11/27/2007	93	Cause: 28:1332 Diversity- Product Liability NOS: 365 Office: Philadelphia Jurisdiction: Diversity Presider: CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ Jury demand: None Case flags: MDL-1871, SPECIAL
2:07-cv-04976-CMR <b>ESTEVEZ v. SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION et al</b>	Case filed: 11/27/2007	93	Cause: 28:1332 Diversity- Product Liability NOS: 365 Office: Philadelphia Jurisdiction: Diversity Presider: CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ Jury demand: None Case flags: MDL-1871,

			SPECIAL
2:07-cv-04979-CMR <b>ROJAS v. SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION et al</b>	<i>Case filed: 11/27/2007</i>	93	<i>Cause: 28:1332 Diversity- Personal Injury NOS: 365 Office: Philadelphia Jurisdiction: Diversity Presider: CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ Jury demand: None Case flags: MDL-1871, STANDARD</i>
2:07-cv-04981-CMR <b>SARCONI v. SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION et al</b>	<i>Case filed: 11/27/2007</i>	93	<i>Cause: 28:1332 Diversity- Product Liability NOS: 365 Office: Philadelphia Jurisdiction: Diversity Presider: CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ Jury demand: None Case flags: MDL-1871, SPECIAL</i>
2:07-cv-04982-CMR <b>PHILLIPS et al v. GLAXOSMITHKLINE PLC</b>	<i>Case filed: 11/27/2007</i>	93	<i>Cause: 28:1332 Diversity- Product Liability NOS: 365 Office: Philadelphia Jurisdiction: Diversity Presider: CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ Jury demand: None Case flags: MDL-1871, SPECIAL</i>
2:07-cv-04983-CMR <b>DONAHOO et al v. GLAXOSMITHKLINE, PLC</b>	<i>Case filed: 11/27/2007</i>	93	<i>Cause: 28:1332 Diversity- Product Liability NOS: 365 Office: Philadelphia Jurisdiction: Diversity Presider: CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ Jury demand: None Case flags: MDL-1871, SPECIAL</i>
2:07-cv-04984-CMR <b>DEL-ROSARIO-PAGAN et al v. GLAXO SMITH KLINE, PLC et al</b>	<i>Case filed: 11/27/2007</i>	93	<i>Cause: 28:1332 Diversity- Product Liability NOS: 365 Office: Philadelphia Jurisdiction: Diversity Presider: CYNTHIA M.</i>



			RUFE <i>Jury demand:</i> None <i>Case flags:</i> MDL-1871, SPECIAL
2:07-cv-04985-CMR <b>TRASS v. SMITHKLINE                      BEECHAM                      CORPORATION</b>	<i>Case filed:</i> 11/27/2007	93	<i>Cause:</i> 28:1332 Diversity- Product Liability <i>NOS:</i> 365 <i>Office:</i> Philadelphia <i>Jurisdiction:</i> Diversity <i>Presider:</i> CYNTHIA M. RUFE <i>Jury demand:</i> None <i>Case flags:</i> MDL-1871, SPECIAL
2:07-cv-04986-CMR <b>NICHOLAS v.                      SMITHKLINE                      BEECHAM                      CORPORATION</b>	<i>Case filed:</i> 11/27/2007	93	<i>Cause:</i> 28:1332 Diversity- Product Liability <i>NOS:</i> 365 <i>Office:</i> Philadelphia <i>Jurisdiction:</i> Diversity <i>Presider:</i> CYNTHIA M. RUFE <i>Jury demand:</i> None <i>Case flags:</i> MDL-1871, SPECIAL
2:07-cv-04987-CMR <b>STANFORD et al v.                      SMITHKLINE                      BEECHAM                      CORPORATION</b>	<i>Case filed:</i> 11/27/2007	93	<i>Cause:</i> 28:1332 Diversity- Product Liability <i>NOS:</i> 365 <i>Office:</i> Philadelphia <i>Jurisdiction:</i> Diversity <i>Presider:</i> CYNTHIA M. RUFE <i>Jury demand:</i> None <i>Case flags:</i> MDL-1871, SPECIAL
2:07-cv-05026-CMR <b>DINATALE et al v.                      GLAXO SMITH KLINE,                      PLC et al</b>	<i>Case filed:</i> 11/29/2007	91	<i>Cause:</i> 28:1332 Diversity- Product Liability <i>NOS:</i> 365 <i>Office:</i> Philadelphia <i>Jurisdiction:</i> Diversity <i>Presider:</i> CYNTHIA M. RUFE <i>Jury demand:</i> Plaintiff <i>Case flags:</i> MDL-1871, STANDARD
2:07-cv-05041-CMR <b>HOWARD v.                      SMITHKLINE</b>	<i>Case filed:</i> 11/30/2007	90	<i>Cause:</i> 28:1332 Diversity- Product Liability <i>NOS:</i> 365

<b>BEECHAM CORPORATION, GLAXOSMITHKLINE et al</b>			Office: Philadelphia Jurisdiction: Diversity Presider: CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ Jury demand: Plaintiff Case flags: MDL-1871, SPECIAL
2:07-cv-05107-CMR <b>ROSS et al v. SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION et al</b>	Case filed: 12/04/2007	86	Cause: 28:1332 Diversity-Product Liability NOS: 365 Office: Philadelphia Jurisdiction: Diversity Presider: CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ Jury demand: Plaintiff Case flags: MDL-1871
2:07-cv-05203-CMR <b>ROLAND v. GLAXOSMITHKLINE</b>	Case filed: 12/10/2007	80	Cause: 28:1332 Diversity-Product Liability NOS: 365 Office: Philadelphia Jurisdiction: Diversity Presider: CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ Jury demand: None Case flags: MDL-1871, SPECIAL
2:07-cv-05331-CMR <b>RODRIGUEZ et al v. SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION et al</b>	Case filed: 12/19/2007	71	Cause: 28:1332 Diversity-Product Liability NOS: 365 Office: Philadelphia Jurisdiction: Diversity Presider: CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ Jury demand: Plaintiff Case flags: MDL-1871
2:07-cv-05360-CMR <b>BURFORD v. SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORP.</b>	Case filed: 12/20/2007	70	Cause: 28:1332 Diversity-Personal Injury NOS: 365 Office: Philadelphia Jurisdiction: Diversity Presider: CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ Jury demand: Defendant Case flags: MDL-1871, SPECIAL
2:07-cv-05443-CMR <b>LAYTON v.</b>	Case filed: 12/27/2007	63	Cause: 28:1332 Diversity-Product Liability

SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION			NOS: 365 Office: Philadelphia Jurisdiction: Diversity Presider: CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ Jury demand: None Case flags: MDL-1871, SPECIAL
2:07-cv-05444-CMR STEPNER v. SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION	Case filed: 12/27/2007	63	Cause: 28:1332 Diversity- Product Liability NOS: 365 Office: Philadelphia Jurisdiction: Diversity Presider: CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ Jury demand: None Case flags: MDL-1871, SPECIAL
2:07-cv-05445-CMR THOMAS v. SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION, GLAXOSMITH	Case filed: 12/27/2007	63	Cause: 28:1332 Diversity- Product Liability NOS: 365 Office: Philadelphia Jurisdiction: Diversity Presider: CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ Jury demand: None Case flags: MDL-1871, SPECIAL
2:07-cv-05446-CMR AMOROSO v. SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION et al	Case filed: 12/27/2007	63	Cause: 28:1332 Diversity- Product Liability NOS: 365 Office: Philadelphia Jurisdiction: Diversity Presider: CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ Jury demand: None Case flags: MDL-1871, SPECIAL
2:07-cv-05447-CMR PAPARELLA v. SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION et al	Case filed: 12/27/2007	63	Cause: 28:1332 Diversity- Personal Injury NOS: 365 Office: Philadelphia Jurisdiction: Diversity Presider: CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ Jury demand: None Case flags: MDL-1871, SPECIAL

2:07-cv-05448-CMR <b>PUTILLION v. SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION et al</b>	Case filed: 12/27/2007	63	Cause: 28:1332 Diversity- Personal Injury NOS: 365 Office: Philadelphia Jurisdiction: Diversity Presider: CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ Jury demand: None Case flags: MDL-1871, SPECIAL
2:07-cv-05449-CMR <b>McDOUGLE v. SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION et al</b>	Case filed: 12/27/2007	63	Cause: 28:1332 Diversity- Personal Injury NOS: 365 Office: Philadelphia Jurisdiction: Diversity Presider: CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ Jury demand: None Case flags: MDL-1871, SPECIAL
2:07-cv-05450-CMR <b>BROWN v. SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION et al</b>	Case filed: 12/27/2007	63	Cause: 28:1332 Diversity- Personal Injury NOS: 365 Office: Philadelphia Jurisdiction: Diversity Presider: CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ Jury demand: None Case flags: MDL-1871, SPECIAL
2:07-cv-05451-CMR <b>SHIELTON et al v. SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION et al</b>	Case filed: 12/27/2007	63	Cause: 28:1332 Diversity- Personal Injury NOS: 365 Office: Philadelphia Jurisdiction: Diversity Presider: CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ Jury demand: None Case flags: MDL-1871, SPECIAL
2:07-cv-05452-CMR <b>SHIFFLETT et al v. SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION et al</b>	Case filed: 12/27/2007	63	Cause: 28:1332 Diversity- Product Liability NOS: 365 Office: Philadelphia Jurisdiction: Diversity Presider: CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ Jury demand: None

			Case flags: MDL-1871, SPECIAL
2:07-cv-05453-CMR <b>RUMPLE v. SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION et al</b>	Case filed: 12/27/2007	63	Cause: 28:1332 Diversity- Product Liability NOS: 365 Office: Philadelphia Jurisdiction: Diversity Presider: CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ Jury demand: None Case flags: MDL-1871, SPECIAL
2:07-cv-05454-CMR <b>MILLER v. GLAXOSMITHKLINE PLC et al</b>	Case filed: 12/27/2007	63	Cause: 28:1332 Diversity- Product Liability NOS: 365 Office: Philadelphia Jurisdiction: Diversity Presider: CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ Jury demand: None Case flags: MDL-1871, SPECIAL
2:07-cv-05455-CMR <b>HARWELL et al v. SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORP et al</b>	Case filed: 12/27/2007	63	Cause: 28:1332 Diversity- Product Liability NOS: 365 Office: Philadelphia Jurisdiction: Diversity Presider: CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ Jury demand: Plaintiff Case flags: MDL-1871, SPECIAL
2:07-md-01871-CMR <b>IN RE: AVANDIA MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION</b>	Case filed: 10/18/2007	133	Cause: 28:1332 Diversity- Product Liability NOS: 365 Office: Philadelphia Jurisdiction: Diversity Presider: CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ Jury demand: Plaintiff Case flags: MDL-1871
2:08-cv-00208-CMR <b>HIBBARD v. SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION et al</b>	Case filed: 01/11/2008	48	Cause: 28:1332 Diversity- Product Liability NOS: 365 Office: Philadelphia Jurisdiction: Diversity Presider: CYNTHIA M.

			RUFÉ <i>Jury demand:</i> None <i>Case flags:</i> MDL-1871, SPECIAL
2:08-cv-00209-CMR <b>JOHNSON et al v. SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION et al</b>	<i>Case filed:</i> 01/11/2008	48	<i>Cause:</i> 28:1332 Diversity- Product Liability <i>NOS:</i> 365 <i>Office:</i> Philadelphia <i>Jurisdiction:</i> Diversity <i>Presider:</i> CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ <i>Jury demand:</i> Plaintiff <i>Case flags:</i> MDL-1871, SPECIAL
2:08-cv-00289-CMR <b>BERRY v. SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION</b>	<i>Case filed:</i> 01/17/2008	42	<i>Cause:</i> 28:1332 Diversity- Personal Injury <i>NOS:</i> 365 <i>Office:</i> Philadelphia <i>Jurisdiction:</i> Federal Question <i>Presider:</i> CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ <i>Jury demand:</i> Plaintiff <i>Case flags:</i> MDL-1871, SPECIAL
2:08-cv-00398-CMR <b>BATES et al v. SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION</b>	<i>Case filed:</i> 01/25/2008	34	<i>Cause:</i> 28:1332 Diversity- Product Liability <i>NOS:</i> 365 <i>Office:</i> Philadelphia <i>Jurisdiction:</i> Diversity <i>Presider:</i> CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ <i>Jury demand:</i> Plaintiff <i>Case flags:</i> MDL-1871, SPECIAL
2:08-cv-00411-CMR <b>NAYLOR v. SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION et al</b>	<i>Case filed:</i> 01/25/2008	34	<i>Cause:</i> 28:1332 Diversity- Product Liability <i>NOS:</i> 365 <i>Office:</i> Philadelphia <i>Jurisdiction:</i> Diversity <i>Presider:</i> CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ <i>Jury demand:</i> None <i>Case flags:</i> MDL-1871, SPECIAL
2:08-cv-00484-CMR <b>LAROSA et al v.</b>	<i>Case filed:</i> 01/31/2008	28	<i>Cause:</i> 28:1332 Diversity- Product Liability

SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION et al			NOS: 365 Office: Philadelphia Jurisdiction: Diversity Presider: CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ Jury demand: None Case flags: MDL-1871, SPECIAL
2:08-cv-00485-CMR BAKER et al v. SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION et al	Case filed: 01/31/2008	28	Cause: 28:1332 Diversity- Product Liability NOS: 365 Office: Philadelphia Jurisdiction: Diversity Presider: CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ Jury demand: None Case flags: MDL-1871, SPECIAL
2:08-cv-00486-CMR SCARBRO v. SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION et al	Case filed: 01/31/2008	28	Cause: 28:1332 Diversity- Product Liability NOS: 365 Office: Philadelphia Jurisdiction: Diversity Presider: CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ Jury demand: None Case flags: MDL-1871, SPECIAL
2:08-cv-00488-CMR ALLEN et al v. SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION et al	Case filed: 01/31/2008	28	Cause: 28:1332 Diversity- Product Liability NOS: 365 Office: Philadelphia Jurisdiction: Diversity Presider: CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ Jury demand: None Case flags: MDL-1871, SPECIAL
2:08-cv-00489-CMR JOHNSON v. SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION et al	Case filed: 01/31/2008	28	Cause: 28:1441 Petition for Removal- Product Liability NOS: 365 Office: Philadelphia Jurisdiction: Diversity Presider: CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ Jury demand: None Case flags: MDL-1871, SPECIAL



2:08-cv-00490-CMR <b>EUSANIO v. SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION et al</b>	Case filed: 01/31/2008	28	Cause: 28:1441 Petition for Removal- Product Liability NOS: 365 Office: Philadelphia Jurisdiction: Diversity Presider: CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ Jury demand: None Case flags: MDL-1871, SPECIAL
2:08-cv-00491-CMR <b>WRIGHT v. SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION et al</b>	Case filed: 01/31/2008	28	Cause: 28:1332 Diversity-Product Liability NOS: 365 Office: Philadelphia Jurisdiction: Diversity Presider: CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ Jury demand: None Case flags: MDL-1871, SPECIAL
2:08-cv-00492-CMR <b>DORMAN v. SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION et al</b>	Case filed: 01/31/2008	28	Cause: 28:1332 Diversity-Product Liability NOS: 365 Office: Philadelphia Jurisdiction: Diversity Presider: CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ Jury demand: None Case flags: MDL-1871, SPECIAL
2:08-cv-00493-CMR <b>MIMANDE v. SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION et al</b>	Case filed: 01/31/2008	28	Cause: 28:1332 Diversity-Product Liability NOS: 365 Office: Philadelphia Jurisdiction: Diversity Presider: CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ Jury demand: None Case flags: MDL-1871, SPECIAL
2:08-cv-00494-CMR <b>NOE v. SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION</b>	Case filed: 01/31/2008	28	Cause: 28:1332 Diversity-Product Liability NOS: 365 Office: Philadelphia Jurisdiction: Diversity Presider: CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ Jury demand: None



			Case flags: MDL-1871, SPECIAL
2:08-cv-00543-CMR <b>MCDANIEL et al v. GLAXOSMITHKLINE INC et al</b>	Case filed: 02/04/2008	24	Cause: 28:1332 Diversity- Product Liability NOS: 365 Office: Philadelphia Jurisdiction: Diversity Presider: CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ Jury demand: None Case flags: MDL-1871, SPECIAL
2:08-cv-00544-CMR <b>RACHAL v. SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION</b>	Case filed: 02/04/2008	24	Cause: 28:1332 Diversity- Product Liability NOS: 365 Office: Philadelphia Jurisdiction: Diversity Presider: CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ Jury demand: None Case flags: MDL-1871, SPECIAL
2:08-cv-00601-CMR <b>BALDWIN v. SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION et al</b>	Case filed: 02/07/2008	21	Cause: 28:1332 Diversity- Personal Injury NOS: 365 Office: Philadelphia Jurisdiction: Diversity Presider: CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ Jury demand: None Case flags: MDL-1871, SPECIAL
2:08-cv-00649-CMR <b>WEINERMAN v. SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION et al</b>	Case filed: 02/11/2008	17	Cause: 28:1332 Diversity- Personal Injury NOS: 365 Office: Philadelphia Jurisdiction: Diversity Presider: CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ Jury demand: None Case flags: MDL-1871, SPECIAL
2:08-cv-00651-CMR <b>DAWSON v. SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION et al</b>	Case filed: 02/11/2008	17	Cause: 28:1332 Diversity- Product Liability NOS: 365 Office: Philadelphia Jurisdiction: Diversity

			<i>Presider:</i> CYNTHIA M. RUFE <i>Jury demand:</i> None <i>Case flags:</i> MDL-1871, SPECIAL
2:08-cv-00708-CMR <b>HOLLAND et al v. GLAXOSMITHKLINE, PLC</b>	<i>Case filed:</i> 02/14/2008	14	<i>Cause:</i> 28:1332 Diversity-Personal Injury <i>NOS:</i> 365 <i>Office:</i> Philadelphia <i>Jurisdiction:</i> Diversity <i>Presider:</i> CYNTHIA M. RUFE <i>Jury demand:</i> None <i>Case flags:</i> MDL-1871
2:08-cv-00779-CMR <b>GIPSON et al v. SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORP, et al</b>	<i>Case filed:</i> 02/19/2008	9	<i>Cause:</i> 28:1332 Diversity-Product Liability <i>NOS:</i> 365 <i>Office:</i> Philadelphia <i>Jurisdiction:</i> Federal Question <i>Presider:</i> CYNTHIA M. RUFE <i>Jury demand:</i> None <i>Case flags:</i> MDL-1871, SPECIAL
2:08-cv-00781-CMR <b>PARKER v. SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION et al</b>	<i>Case filed:</i> 02/19/2008	9	<i>Cause:</i> 28:1332 Diversity-Product Liability <i>NOS:</i> 365 <i>Office:</i> Philadelphia <i>Jurisdiction:</i> Diversity <i>Presider:</i> CYNTHIA M. RUFE <i>Jury demand:</i> None <i>Case flags:</i> MDL-1871, SPECIAL
2:08-cv-00835-CMR <b>JOHNSON et al v. GLAXOSMITHKLINE et al</b>	<i>Case filed:</i> 02/21/2008	7	<i>Cause:</i> 28:1332 Diversity-Product Liability <i>NOS:</i> 365 <i>Office:</i> Philadelphia <i>Jurisdiction:</i> Diversity <i>Presider:</i> CYNTHIA M. RUFE <i>Jury demand:</i> None <i>Case flags:</i> MDL-1871, SPECIAL
2:08-cv-00836-CMR <b>DOUCET v.</b>	<i>Case filed:</i> 02/21/2008	7	<i>Cause:</i> 28:1332 Diversity-Product Liability

<b>GLAXOSMITHKLINE INC et al</b>			NOS: 365 Office: Philadelphia Jurisdiction: Diversity Presider: CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ Jury demand: None Case flags: MDL-1871, SPECIAL
2:08-cv-00837-CMR <b>VASAPOLLO v. SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION et al</b>	Case filed: 02/21/2008	7	Cause: 28:1332 Diversity- Product Liability NOS: 365 Office: Philadelphia Jurisdiction: Diversity Presider: CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ Jury demand: None Case flags: MDL-1871, SPECIAL
2:08-cv-00838-CMR <b>LOPEZ et al v. SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION</b>	Case filed: 02/21/2008	7	Cause: 28:1332 Diversity- Product Liability NOS: 365 Office: Philadelphia Jurisdiction: Diversity Presider: CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ Jury demand: None Case flags: MDL-1871, SPECIAL
2:08-cv-00840-CMR <b>ODIERNA v. SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION et al</b>	Case filed: 02/21/2008	7	Cause: 28:1332 Diversity- Product Liability NOS: 365 Office: Philadelphia Jurisdiction: Diversity Presider: CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ Jury demand: None Case flags: MDL-1871, SPECIAL
2:08-cv-00841-CMR <b>REESE v. SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION</b>	Case filed: 02/21/2008	7	Cause: 28:1332 Diversity- Product Liability NOS: 365 Office: Philadelphia Jurisdiction: Diversity Presider: CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ Jury demand: None Case flags: MDL-1871, SPECIAL

2:08-cv-00843-CMR <b>BUSBY-SMITH et al v. GLAXOSMITHKLINE PLC et al</b>	Case filed: 02/21/2008	7	Cause: 28:1332 Diversity- Product Liability NOS: 365 Office: Philadelphia Jurisdiction: Diversity Presider: CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ Jury demand: None Case flags: MDL-1871, SPECIAL
2:08-cv-00844-CMR <b>DENNIS et al v. SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION</b>	Case filed: 02/21/2008	7	Cause: 28:1332 Diversity- Product Liability NOS: 365 Office: Philadelphia Jurisdiction: Diversity Presider: CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ Jury demand: None Case flags: MDL-1871, SPECIAL
2:08-cv-00845-CMR <b>WILLIS et al v. SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION</b>	Case filed: 02/21/2008	7	Cause: 28:1332 Diversity- Product Liability NOS: 365 Office: Philadelphia Jurisdiction: Diversity Presider: CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ Jury demand: None Case flags: MDL-1871, SPECIAL
2:08-cv-00846-CMR <b>SEPULVEDA et al v. SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION</b>	Case filed: 02/21/2008	7	Cause: 28:1332 Diversity- Product Liability NOS: 365 Office: Philadelphia Jurisdiction: Diversity Presider: CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ Jury demand: None Case flags: MDL-1871, SPECIAL
2:08-cv-00847-CMR <b>MARLER et al v. SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION</b>	Case filed: 02/21/2008	7	Cause: 28:1332 Diversity- Product Liability NOS: 365 Office: Philadelphia Jurisdiction: Diversity Presider: CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ Jury demand: None

			Case flags: MDL-1871, SPECIAL
2:08-cv-00848-CMR <b>JACKSON et al v. GLAXO SMITHKLINE et al</b>	Case filed: 02/21/2008	7	Cause: 28:1332 Diversity- Personal Injury NOS: 365 Office: Philadelphia Jurisdiction: Diversity Presider: CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ Jury demand: None Case flags: MDL-1871, SPECIAL
2:08-cv-00884-CMR <b>SANDOVAL et al v. SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION</b>	Case filed: 02/20/2008	8	Cause: 28:1332 Diversity- Personal Injury NOS: 365 Office: Philadelphia Jurisdiction: Diversity Presider: CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ Jury demand: None Case flags: MDL-1871, SPECIAL
2:08-cv-00888-CMR <b>BONAVITA et al v. SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION et al</b>	Case filed: 02/22/2008	6	Cause: 28:1332 Diversity- Product Liability NOS: 365 Office: Philadelphia Jurisdiction: Diversity Presider: CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ Jury demand: Plaintiff Case flags: MDL-1871, SPECIAL
2:08-cv-00889-CMR <b>WILLIAMS v. SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION et al</b>	Case filed: 02/22/2008	6	Cause: 28:1332 Diversity- Personal Injury NOS: 365 Office: Philadelphia Jurisdiction: Diversity Presider: CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ Jury demand: Plaintiff Case flags: MDL-1871, SPECIAL
2:08-cv-00890-CMR <b>SLAUGHTER v. SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION et al</b>	Case filed: 02/22/2008	6	Cause: 28:1332 Diversity- Product Liability NOS: 365 Office: Philadelphia Jurisdiction: Diversity

			President: CYNTHIA M. RUFE Jury demand: Plaintiff Case flags: MDL-1871, SPECIAL
2:08-cv-00891-CMR <b>GILLESPIE et al v. SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION et al</b>	Case filed: 02/22/2008	6	Cause: 28:1332 Diversity-Product Liability NOS: 365 Office: Philadelphia Jurisdiction: Diversity President: CYNTHIA M. RUFE Jury demand: Plaintiff Case flags: MDL-1871, SPECIAL
2:08-cv-00892-CMR <b>MOLLOY et al v. SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION et al</b>	Case filed: 02/22/2008	6	Cause: 28:1332 Diversity-Product Liability NOS: 365 Office: Philadelphia Jurisdiction: Diversity President: CYNTHIA M. RUFE Jury demand: Plaintiff Case flags: MDL-1871, SPECIAL
2:08-cv-00893-CMR <b>AREZZI et al v. SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION et al</b>	Case filed: 02/22/2008	6	Cause: 28:1332 Diversity-Product Liability NOS: 365 Office: Philadelphia Jurisdiction: Diversity President: CYNTHIA M. RUFE Jury demand: Plaintiff Case flags: MDL-1871, SPECIAL
2:08-cv-00894-CMR <b>TERMINI et al v. SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION et al</b>	Case filed: 02/22/2008	6	Cause: 28:1332 Diversity-Product Liability NOS: 365 Office: Philadelphia Jurisdiction: Diversity President: CYNTHIA M. RUFE Jury demand: Plaintiff Case flags: MDL-1871, SPECIAL
2:08-cv-00895-CMR <b>ELZWAHRY et al v.</b>	Case filed: 02/22/2008	6	Cause: 28:1332 Diversity-Product Liability

SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION et al			NOS: 365 Office: Philadelphia Jurisdiction: Diversity Presider: CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ Jury demand: Plaintiff Case flags: MDL-1871, SPECIAL
2:08-cv-00896-CMR WASHINGTON v. SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION et al	Case filed: 02/22/2008	6	Cause: 28:1332 Diversity- Product Liability NOS: 365 Office: Philadelphia Jurisdiction: Diversity Presider: CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ Jury demand: Plaintiff Case flags: MDL-1871, SPECIAL
2:08-cv-00897-CMR JOHNSON et al v. SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION et al	Case filed: 02/22/2008	6	Cause: 28:1332 Diversity- Product Liability NOS: 365 Office: Philadelphia Jurisdiction: Diversity Presider: CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ Jury demand: Plaintiff Case flags: MDL-1871, SPECIAL
2:08-cv-00898-CMR DENNARD et al v. SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION et al	Case filed: 02/22/2008	6	Cause: 28:1332 Diversity- Product Liability NOS: 365 Office: Philadelphia Jurisdiction: Diversity Presider: CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ Jury demand: Plaintiff Case flags: MDL-1871, SPECIAL
2:08-cv-00899-CMR CARDINALE et al v. SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION et al	Case filed: 02/22/2008	6	Cause: 28:1332 Diversity- Product Liability NOS: 365 Office: Philadelphia Jurisdiction: Diversity Presider: CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ Jury demand: Plaintiff Case flags: MDL-1871, SPECIAL



2:08-cv-00900-CMR <b>KILGORE et al v. SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION et al</b>	Case filed: 02/22/2008	6	Cause: 28:1332 Diversity- Product Liability NOS: 365 Office: Philadelphia Jurisdiction: Diversity Presider: CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ Jury demand: Plaintiff Case flags: MDL-1871, SPECIAL
2:08-cv-00901-CMR <b>ROHENA v. SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION et al</b>	Case filed: 02/22/2008	6	Cause: 28:1332 Diversity- Product Liability NOS: 365 Office: Philadelphia Jurisdiction: Diversity Presider: CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ Jury demand: Plaintiff Case flags: MDL-1871, SPECIAL
2:08-cv-00902-CMR <b>MORHART et al v. SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION et al</b>	Case filed: 02/22/2008	6	Cause: 28:1332 Diversity- Product Liability NOS: 365 Office: Philadelphia Jurisdiction: Diversity Presider: CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ Jury demand: Plaintiff Case flags: MDL-1871, SPECIAL
2:08-cv-00903-CMR <b>FOSTER et al v. SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION et al</b>	Case filed: 02/22/2008	6	Cause: 28:1332 Diversity- Product Liability NOS: 365 Office: Philadelphia Jurisdiction: Diversity Presider: CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ Jury demand: Plaintiff Case flags: MDL-1871, SPECIAL
2:08-cv-00904-CMR <b>PECK et al v. SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION et al</b>	Case filed: 02/22/2008	6	Cause: 28:1332 Diversity- Product Liability NOS: 365 Office: Philadelphia Jurisdiction: Diversity Presider: CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ Jury demand: Plaintiff



			<i>Case flags:</i> MDL-1871, SPECIAL
2:08-cv-00905-CMR <b>ARROYO et al v. SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION et al</b>	<i>Case filed:</i> 02/22/2008	6	<i>Cause:</i> 28:1332 Diversity- Product Liability <i>NOS:</i> 365 <i>Office:</i> Philadelphia <i>Jurisdiction:</i> Diversity <i>Presider:</i> CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ <i>Jury demand:</i> Plaintiff <i>Case flags:</i> MDL-1871, SPECIAL
2:08-cv-00906-CMR <b>NANTISTA et al v. SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION et al</b>	<i>Case filed:</i> 02/22/2008	6	<i>Cause:</i> 28:1332 Diversity- Product Liability <i>NOS:</i> 365 <i>Office:</i> Philadelphia <i>Jurisdiction:</i> Diversity <i>Presider:</i> CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ <i>Jury demand:</i> Plaintiff <i>Case flags:</i> MDL-1871, SPECIAL
2:08-cv-00907-CMR <b>MANGUAL et al v. SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION et al</b>	<i>Case filed:</i> 02/22/2008	6	<i>Cause:</i> 28:1332 Diversity- Product Liability <i>NOS:</i> 365 <i>Office:</i> Philadelphia <i>Jurisdiction:</i> Diversity <i>Presider:</i> CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ <i>Jury demand:</i> Plaintiff <i>Case flags:</i> MDL-1871, SPECIAL
2:08-cv-00908-CMR <b>BROWN v. SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION et al</b>	<i>Case filed:</i> 02/22/2008	6	<i>Cause:</i> 28:1332 Diversity- Product Liability <i>NOS:</i> 365 <i>Office:</i> Philadelphia <i>Jurisdiction:</i> Diversity <i>Presider:</i> CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ <i>Jury demand:</i> Plaintiff <i>Case flags:</i> MDL-1871, SPECIAL
2:08-cv-00909-CMR <b>POTTER et al v. SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION et al</b>	<i>Case filed:</i> 02/22/2008	6	<i>Cause:</i> 28:1332 Diversity- Product Liability <i>NOS:</i> 365 <i>Office:</i> Philadelphia <i>Jurisdiction:</i> Diversity

			Presider: CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ Jury demand: Plaintiff Case flags: MDL-1871, SPECIAL
2:08-cv-00910-CMR <b>HERSHKOWITZ et al v. SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION et al</b>	Case filed: 02/22/2008	6	Cause: 28:1332 Diversity-Product Liability NOS: 365 Office: Philadelphia Jurisdiction: Diversity Presider: CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ Jury demand: Plaintiff Case flags: MDL-1871, SPECIAL
2:08-cv-00911-CMR <b>CRAIG v. SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION et al</b>	Case filed: 02/22/2008	6	Cause: 28:1332 Diversity-Product Liability NOS: 365 Office: Philadelphia Jurisdiction: Diversity Presider: CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ Jury demand: Plaintiff Case flags: MDL-1871, SPECIAL
2:08-cv-00912-CMR <b>MILLER et al v. SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION et al</b>	Case filed: 02/22/2008	6	Cause: 28:1332 Diversity-Product Liability NOS: 365 Office: Philadelphia Jurisdiction: Diversity Presider: CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ Jury demand: Plaintiff Case flags: MDL-1871, SPECIAL
2:08-cv-00913-CMR <b>FRANCIS v. SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION et al</b>	Case filed: 02/22/2008	6	Cause: 28:1332 Diversity-Product Liability NOS: 365 Office: Philadelphia Jurisdiction: Diversity Presider: CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ Jury demand: Plaintiff Case flags: MDL-1871, SPECIAL
2:08-cv-00914-CMR <b>FELICIA et al v.</b>	Case filed: 02/22/2008	6	Cause: 28:1332 Diversity-Product Liability

SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION et al			NOS: 365 Office: Philadelphia Jurisdiction: Diversity Presider: CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ Jury demand: Plaintiff Case flags: MDL-1871, SPECIAL
2:08-cv-00915-CMR REBO v. SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION et al	Case filed: 02/22/2008	6	Cause: 28:1332 Diversity- Product Liability NOS: 365 Office: Philadelphia Jurisdiction: Diversity Presider: CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ Jury demand: Plaintiff Case flags: MDL-1871, SPECIAL
2:08-cv-00916-CMR SHEIK et al v. SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION et al	Case filed: 02/22/2008	6	Cause: 28:1332 Diversity- Product Liability NOS: 365 Office: Philadelphia Jurisdiction: Federal Question Presider: CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ Jury demand: Plaintiff Case flags: MDL-1871, SPECIAL
2:08-cv-00917-CMR SANTOS v. SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION et al	Case filed: 02/22/2008	6	Cause: 28:1332 Diversity- Product Liability NOS: 365 Office: Philadelphia Jurisdiction: Diversity Presider: CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ Jury demand: Plaintiff Case flags: MDL-1871, SPECIAL
2:08-cv-00918-CMR MANERI et al v. SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION et al	Case filed: 02/22/2008	6	Cause: 28:1332 Diversity- Product Liability NOS: 365 Office: Philadelphia Jurisdiction: Diversity Presider: CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ Jury demand: Plaintiff Case flags: MDL-1871, SPECIAL

			SPECIAL
2:08-cv-00919-CMR <b>RAMIREZ et al v. SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION et al</b>	Case filed: 02/22/2008	6	Cause: 28:1332 Diversity- Product Liability NOS: 365 Office: Philadelphia Jurisdiction: Diversity Presider: CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ Jury demand: Plaintiff Case flags: MDL-1871, SPECIAL
2:08-cv-00920-CMR <b>LEBRON et al v. SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION et al</b>	Case filed: 02/22/2008	6	Cause: 28:1332 Diversity- Product Liability NOS: 365 Office: Philadelphia Jurisdiction: Diversity Presider: CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ Jury demand: Plaintiff Case flags: MDL-1871, SPECIAL
2:08-cv-00921-CMR <b>LIGHT et al v. SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION et al</b>	Case filed: 02/22/2008	6	Cause: 28:1332 Diversity- Product Liability NOS: 365 Office: Philadelphia Jurisdiction: Diversity Presider: CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ Jury demand: Plaintiff Case flags: MDL-1871, SPECIAL
2:08-cv-00922-CMR <b>COOK v. SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION et al</b>	Case filed: 02/22/2008	6	Cause: 28:1332 Diversity- Product Liability NOS: 365 Office: Philadelphia Jurisdiction: Diversity Presider: CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ Jury demand: Plaintiff Case flags: MDL-1871, SPECIAL
2:08-cv-00923-CMR <b>LEPPER v. SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION et al</b>	Case filed: 02/22/2008	6	Cause: 28:1332 Diversity- Product Liability NOS: 365 Office: Philadelphia Jurisdiction: Diversity Presider: CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ

			RUFÉ <i>Jury demand:</i> Plaintiff <i>Case flags:</i> MDL-1871, SPECIAL
2:08-cv-00924-CMR <b>LEWIS et al v. SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION et al</b>	<i>Case filed:</i> 02/22/2008	6	<i>Cause:</i> 28:1332 Diversity- Product Liability <i>NOS:</i> 365 <i>Office:</i> Philadelphia <i>Jurisdiction:</i> Diversity <i>Presider:</i> CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ <i>Jury demand:</i> Plaintiff <i>Case flags:</i> MDL-1871, SPECIAL
2:08-cv-00925-CMR <b>SKEANS v. SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION et al</b>	<i>Case filed:</i> 02/22/2008	6	<i>Cause:</i> 28:1332 Diversity- Product Liability <i>NOS:</i> 365 <i>Office:</i> Philadelphia <i>Jurisdiction:</i> Diversity <i>Presider:</i> CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ <i>Jury demand:</i> Plaintiff <i>Case flags:</i> MDL-1871, SPECIAL
2:08-cv-00926-CMR <b>GALFORD et al v. SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION et al</b>	<i>Case filed:</i> 02/22/2008	6	<i>Cause:</i> 28:1332 Diversity- Product Liability <i>NOS:</i> 365 <i>Office:</i> Philadelphia <i>Jurisdiction:</i> Diversity <i>Presider:</i> CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ <i>Jury demand:</i> Plaintiff <i>Case flags:</i> MDL-1871, SPECIAL
2:08-cv-00927-CMR <b>BARBERA v. SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION et al</b>	<i>Case filed:</i> 02/22/2008	6	<i>Cause:</i> 28:1332 Diversity- Product Liability <i>NOS:</i> 365 <i>Office:</i> Philadelphia <i>Jurisdiction:</i> Diversity <i>Presider:</i> CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ <i>Jury demand:</i> Plaintiff <i>Case flags:</i> MDL-1871, SPECIAL
2:08-cv-00928-CMR <b>MCCLUNG et al v. SMITHKLINE</b>	<i>Case filed:</i> 02/22/2008	6	<i>Cause:</i> 28:1332 Diversity- Product Liability <i>NOS:</i> 365

<b>BEECHAM CORPORATION et al</b>			Office: Philadelphia Jurisdiction: Diversity Presider: CYNTHIA M. RUFE Jury demand: Plaintiff Case flags: MDL-1871, SPECIAL
2:08-cv-00929-CMR <b>ROSSNAGLE v. SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION et al</b>	Case filed: 02/22/2008	6	Cause: 28:1332 Diversity-Product Liability NOS: 365 Office: Philadelphia Jurisdiction: Diversity Presider: CYNTHIA M. RUFE Jury demand: Plaintiff Case flags: MDL-1871, SPECIAL
2:08-cv-00930-CMR <b>GREENO et al v. SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION et al</b>	Case filed: 02/22/2008	6	Cause: 28:1332 Diversity-Product Liability NOS: 365 Office: Philadelphia Jurisdiction: Diversity Presider: CYNTHIA M. RUFE Jury demand: Plaintiff Case flags: MDL-1871, SPECIAL
2:08-cv-00931-CMR <b>KRAFT et al v. SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION et al</b>	Case filed: 02/22/2008	6	Cause: 28:1332 Diversity-Product Liability NOS: 365 Office: Philadelphia Jurisdiction: Diversity Presider: CYNTHIA M. RUFE Jury demand: Plaintiff Case flags: MDL-1871, SPECIAL
2:08-cv-00932-CMR <b>FERNANDEZ et al v. SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION et al</b>	Case filed: 02/22/2008	6	Cause: 28:1332 Diversity-Product Liability NOS: 365 Office: Philadelphia Jurisdiction: Diversity Presider: CYNTHIA M. RUFE Jury demand: Plaintiff Case flags: MDL-1871, SPECIAL

2:08-cv-00933-CMR <b>STOWE v. SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION et al</b>	Case filed: 02/22/2008	6	Cause: 28:1332 Diversity- Product Liability NOS: 365 Office: Philadelphia Jurisdiction: Diversity Presider: CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ Jury demand: Plaintiff Case flags: MDL-1871, SPECIAL
2:08-cv-00934-CMR <b>ROGERS et al v. SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION et al</b>	Case filed: 02/22/2008	6	Cause: 28:1332 Diversity- Product Liability NOS: 365 Office: Philadelphia Jurisdiction: Diversity Presider: CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ Jury demand: Plaintiff Case flags: MDL-1871, SPECIAL
2:08-cv-00935-CMR <b>LANDERS et al v. SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION et al</b>	Case filed: 02/22/2008	6	Cause: 28:1332 Diversity- Product Liability NOS: 365 Office: Philadelphia Jurisdiction: Diversity Presider: CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ Jury demand: Plaintiff Case flags: MDL-1871, SPECIAL
2:08-cv-00938-CMR <b>DELLA POLLA et al v. SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORP.</b>	Case filed: 02/22/2008	6	Cause: 28:1332 Diversity- Product Liability NOS: 365 Office: Philadelphia Jurisdiction: Diversity Presider: CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ Jury demand: Plaintiff Case flags: MDL-1871, SPECIAL

Total number of cases reported: 131

**Selection Criteria for Report**

Office	All
Case Type	All



<b>Nature of Suit</b>	All
<b>Presiding Judge</b>	RUFE, CYNTHIA M.
<b>Referral Judge</b>	All
<b>Cause</b>	All
<b>Jurisdiction</b>	All
<b>Filed Date</b>	1/20/2007 - 2/28/2008
<b>Entered Date</b>	1/20/2007 - 2/28/2008
<b>Closed Date</b>	All
<b>Case Flags</b>	MDL-1871
<b>Terminal Digits</b>	All
<b>Open Cases</b>	Yes
<b>Closed Cases</b>	No
<b>Sort by</b>	case number



## **SCHEDULE B**

## Search Results

RACHEL ABRAMS  
HERSH & HERSH  
2080 OPERA PLAZA  
601 VAN NESS AVE  
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-6388

ROBERT HENRY ALEXANDER  
THE LAW OFFICE OF ROBERT H. ALEXANDER JR  
PO BOX 868  
OKLAHOMA CITY, OK 73101

BENJAMIN H. ANDERSON  
ANDERSON LAW OFFICE, LLC  
1160 W. 9TH STREET  
SUITE 200  
CLEVELAND, OH 44113

VANCE R. ANDRUS  
ANDRUS BOUDREAU LEMOINE & TONORE  
416 W. MAIN ST  
PO BOX 3347  
LAFAYETTE, LA 70502 3347

PAUL GERARD AUCOIN  
134 GOODWILL PLANTATION ROAD  
VACHERIE, LA 70093

BRYAN FREDERICK AYLSTOCK  
AYLSTOCK, WITKIN & SASSER, PLC  
4400 RAYOU BLVD SUITE 58  
PENSACOLA, FL 32503 1909

DANIEL E. BECNEL, JR  
LAW OFFICES OF DANIEL E. BECNEL, JR.  
106 WEST SEVENTH STREET  
POST OFFICE DRAWER H  
RESERVE, LA 70084

GEORGE J. BEQUETTE, JR  
BEQUETTE AND BILLINGSLEY P.A.  
425 WEST CAPITOL AVENUE  
SUITE 1200  
LITTLE ROCK, AR 72201

JERRY W. BLACKWELL  
BLACKWELL IGBANUGO ENGEN & SAFFOLD  
701 FORTH AVENUE SOUTH  
SUITE 1730  
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55415

BARRY H. HOISE  
PEPPER HAMILTON LLP  
1030 TWO LOGAN SQUARE  
18TH & ARCH STREETS  
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103 2799

TURNER W. BRANCH  
BRANCH LAW FIRM  
2025 RIO GRANDE BLVD. NW  
ALBUQUERQUE, NM 87104

JOHN M. BRODERICK  
FUCH, JONES, ET AL  
180 NORTH LASALLE STREET  
SUITE 3400  
CHICAGO, IL 60601

CYNTHIA BROWN  
HERSH & HERSH  
2080 OPERA PLAZA  
601 VAN NESS AVE  
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-6388

BRUCE DON BURTOFF  
THE MILLER FIRM, LLC  
108 RAILROAD AVENUE  
ORANGE, VA 22960

MARK E. BURTON, JR  
HERSH & HERSH  
2080 OPERA PLAZA  
601 VAN NESS AVE STE 2080  
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-6388

CRAIG W. CARLSON  
SMITH & CARLSON  
P.O. BOX 10520  
KILLEEN, TX 76547

CHARLES H. CARPENTER  
PEPPER, HAMILTON LLP  
HAMILTON SQUARE  
600 FOURTEENTH STREET, N.W.  
WASHINGTON, DC 20005-2004

SIOBHAN A. CULLEN  
DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH  
333 S. GRAND AVE., STE. 1700  
LOS ANGELES, CA 90071

SUZANNE M D'AMICO  
PEPPER HAMILTON  
THE NEW YORK TIMES BUILDING  
17TH FLOOR  
620 EIGHTH AVENUE  
NEW YORK, NY 10018

CLARENCE DAVIS  
NELSON MULLINS RILEY AND SCARBOROUGH  
P.O. BOX 11070  
COLUMBIA, SC 29211

ROGER D. DRAKE  
BAUM HEDLUND ARISTRI & GOLDMAN  
12100 WILSHIRE BLVD., STE. 950  
LOS ANGELES, CA 90025

STEPHEN WOODROW DRINNON  
THE DRINNON LAW FIRM  
1703 PACIFIC AVENUE  
SUITE 2230  
DALLAS, TX 75201

DAVID L. EISBROUGH  
BALKIN AND EISBROUGH LLC  
50 MAIN STREET  
SUITE SIX  
HACKENSACK, NJ 07061

SEAN P. FAHEY  
PEPPER HAMILTON LLP  
1030 TWO LOGAN SQUARE  
18TH & ARCH STREETS  
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103 2799

RONALD J. FAVRE  
MICHAEL INGLE & ASSOCIATES, INC.  
220 GAUSS RD  
SUITE 200  
P.O. BOX 1129  
SHIDELL, LA 70459

SAMUEL L. FELKER  
SASS, BERRY AND SIMS  
315 DEADERICK ST.  
SUITE 2700, FIRST AMERICAN CENTER  
NASHVILLE, TN 37238

ANDREW FINKELSTEIN  
FINKELSTEIN & PARTNERS LLP  
436 ROBINSON AVE  
NEWBURCH, NY 12550

EDWARD W. GERKECKE  
CARLTON, FIELDS, WARD, ETAL  
PO BOX 3239  
TAMPA, FL 33601

THOMAS V GIRARDI  
GIRARDI & KEESSE  
1126 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD  
LOS ANGELES, CA 90017-1904

LIONEL Z. GLANCY  
GLANCY BINKOW AND GOLDBERG  
1801 AVENUE OF THE STARS  
SUITE 311  
LOS ANGELES, CA 90067

MARC L. SODINO  
CLANCY HINKOW AND GOLDBERG  
1801 AVENUE OF THE STARS  
SUITE 311  
LOS ANGELES, CA 90067

BRIAN A. GOLDSTERN  
CELLINO & BARNES, PC  
17 COURT STREET, 7TH FLOOR  
BUFFALO, NY 14202

NINA M. GUSSACK  
PEPPER HAMILTON LLP  
1000 TWO LOGAN SQ  
18TH & ARCH STS  
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103 2799

MATTHEW J. HAMILTON  
PEPPER HAMILTON, LLP  
18TH & ARCH STS.  
1000 TWO LOGAN SQUARE  
PHILA, PA 19103-2799

CATHERINE THEODORA HEACOX  
THE LANIER LAW FIRM, PLLC  
126 EAST 56TH STREET  
6TH FLOOR  
NEW YORK, NY 10022

EDWARD J. HEFFERNAN  
PEPPER HAMILTON LLP  
1000 TWO LOGAN SQUARE  
18TH AND ARCH STREET  
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103

NANCY HERSH  
HERSH & HERSH  
2080 OPERA PLAZA  
601 VAN NESS AVE  
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94132-6388

WALDON MICHAEL HINGLE  
MICHAEL HINGLE & ASSOCIATES, INC.  
220 GAUSE BLVD.  
SUITE 200  
SLIDELL, LA 70459

HENRY LEWIS HIPKENS  
DASS, BERRY & SIMMS  
AMSOUTH CENTER  
315 DEADRIK STREET  
SUITE 2700  
NASHVILLE, TN 37218

SHEPARD A. HOFFMAN  
LAW OFFICES OF SHEPARD A. HOFFMAN  
301 N. CHARLES STREET  
SUITE 901  
BALTIMORE, MD 21201

ROBERT HOLLAND  
HOLLAND LAW  
308 COGILL RD NW  
KNOXVILLE, TN 37922

SHANNON M. HOLLAND  
HOLLAND LAW  
308 COGILL ROAD NW  
KNOXVILLE, TN 37922

ROBERT WILLIAM IVY  
THE LAW OFFICE OF ROBERT H. ALEXANDER JR  
POBOX 868  
OKLAHOMA CITY, OK 73101

LYNN SEITHEL JEKEL  
NESS MCLEY PA  
POBX 1792  
28 BRIDGESIDE BLVD  
MT PLEASANT, SC 29465

GREGORY LAWING JONES  
GREG JONES, P.A.  
3015 MARKET STREET  
WILMINGTON, NC 28403

WALTER JONES, JR  
PUGH, JONES, ET AL  
160 NORTH LASALLE STREET  
SUITE 1400  
CHICAGO, IL 60601

JAMIE RANAH KENDALL  
PRICE WAICUKANSKI & RILEY  
101 MASSACHUSETTS AVENUE  
INDIANAPOLIS, IN 46204

KENNETH J. KING  
PEPPER HAMILTON LLP  
THE NY TIMES BLDG  
620 EIGHTH AVE  
NEW YORK, NY 10018

AMANDA S. KITTS  
NELSON MULLING RILEY AND SCARHOLLOUGH  
P.O. BOX 11070  
COLUMBIA, SC 29211

W. MARK LANIER  
THE LANIER LAW FIRM  
6810 FM 1960 WEST  
HOUSTON, TX 77069

GEORGE ALEXANDER LEHNER  
PEPPER, HAMILTON & SCHEETZ  
1300 17th STREET, NW  
SUITE 700  
WASHINGTON, DC 20036

JENNIFER LENZE  
GIRARDI AND KEES  
1126 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD  
LOS ANGELES, CA 90017

STEVEN LIM  
FINKELSTEIN & PARTNERS LLP  
436 ROBINSON AVE  
BIRMINGHAM, NY 12550

JOHN J. LOVE  
ROBERT H. ALEXANDER JR PC  
120 N ROBINSON  
24TH FLOOR  
OKLAHOMA CITY, OK 73102

LEWIS WILKINSON LYONS  
GLASSMAN EDWARDS WADE AND WYATT  
26 N. SECOND STREET  
MEMPHIS, TN 38103

VICTORIA JENNINGS MANIATIS  
MORELLI RATNER, P.C.  
950 THIRD AVENUE  
NEW YORK, NY 10022

BRIAN J. MCCORMICK, JR  
SHELLER PC  
1528 WALNUT ST., 3RD FL  
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19102

RICHARD MEADOW  
ROBERT M. BLAKEMAN & ASSOCIATES  
109 S. FRANKLIN AVENUE  
VALLEY STREAM, NY 11580

THOMAS E. MELLON, JR  
MELLON, WEBSTER & MELLON  
87 NORTH BROAD STREET  
DOYLESTOWN, PA 18901

KAREN BARTH MENZIES  
HAUM HEILUND ARISTE: GOLDMAN & MENZIES.  
12100 WILSHIRE BLVD STE 940  
LOS ANGELES, CA 90025

HENRY A. MEYER, III  
AROWITZ TIMBERLAKE & DAHNKE PC  
PCBOX 1937  
OKLAHOMA CITY, OK 73101

GARY MARTIN MEYERS  
MEYERS AND GOLDTHWAITE  
35 W. MAIN STREET  
SUITE 106  
DENVER, CO 80202

NANCY A. MISHAKH  
MISHAKH & ASSOCIATES  
4252 S 700 E  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84107

ROBERT EDWARD MORELAND  
HEILIG, MC KENSY, FRAIM AND LOLLAR  
700 NEWTOWN ROAD  
SUITE 15  
NORFOLK, VA 23502

JOSEPH J. MONFORD  
TUCKER ELLIS & WEST LLP  
1100 HUNTINGTON BLDG.  
925 EUCLID AVENUE  
CLEVELAND, OH 44115

BRIAN PHILLIP MURRAY  
MURRAY FRANK SAILER LLP  
275 MADISON AVE SUITE 601  
NEW YORK, NY 10016 6099

MIKE NEILON  
WPVI-TV (ABC PHILADELPHIA)  
4100 CITY LINE AVENUE  
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19131

JOHN F. NEVARES  
P.O. BOX 13667  
SAN JUAN, PR 00908

DEBORA A. O'NEILL  
MEYERSON & O'NEILL  
1700 MARKET ST.  
STE 3025  
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103

NEIL D. OVERHOLTZ  
AYLSTOCK, WITKIN & SASSER, PLC  
4400 BAYOU BLVD.  
SUITE 58  
PENSACOLA, FL 32503

NICHOLAS M. PATTON  
PATTON, TIDWELL & SANDEFUR  
P.O. BOX 5398  
CROWN EXECUTIVE CENTER, 6500 SUMMERHILL  
TEXARKANA, TX 75505 5398

GALE DIANE PEARSON  
GALE D. PEARSON & ASSOCIATES PA  
1012 GRAIN EXCHANGE BUILDING  
400 SOUTH FOURTH STREET  
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55415

BRYAN AUGUST PFLEGER  
MICHAEL HINCLE & ASSOCIATES, INC.  
220 GAUSE BLVD.  
SUITE 200  
P.O. BOX 1129  
SLIDELL, LA 70459

MICHAEL L. PRIFER  
952 ECHO LAKE  
SUITE 140  
HOUSTON, TX 77024

ROBERT CHRISTIAN PITTARD  
DAVIS LAW FIRM  
5710 IH-10 W  
SAN ANTONIO, TX 78201

ELEANOR LOUISE POLIMENT  
FINKELSTEIN & PARTNERS, LLP  
416 ROBINSON AVENUE  
NEWBURGH, NY 12550

LYN PEEPLES PRUITT  
MITCHELL WILLIAMS SELLIG GATES  
425 W. CAPITOL AVE  
SUITE 1800  
LITTLE ROCK, AR 72201-3525

ERIC F. QUANDT  
PUGH JONES  
180 NORTH LASALLE ST  
SUITE 1400  
CHICAGO, IL 60601

JOSE L. RAMIREZ-COLL  
FIDDLER GONZALEZ & RODRIGUEZ  
P.O. BOX 363507  
SAN JUAN, PR 00916

DIEGO A. RAMOS  
FIDDLER GONZALEZ & RODRIGUEZ  
P.O. BOX 363507  
SAN JUAN, PR 00916

STEPHEN JEROME RANDALL  
RANDALL AND SCHUMACHER, P.A.  
1012 GRAIN EXCHANGE BUILDING  
400 SOUTH FOURTH STREET  
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55415

R. JASON RICHARDS  
AYLSTOCK WITKIN KREIS & OVERHOLTZ, PLLC  
801 N. PALAFOX ST.  
PENSACOLA, FL 32510

WILLIAM N. RILEY  
PRICE WAICUKAUSKI & RILEY  
301 MASSACHUSETTS AVENUE  
INDIANAPOLIS, IN 46204

GLENWOOD PARIS ROANE, SR  
GLENWOOD P. ROANE, SR., & ASSOCIATES  
217 EXCHANGE AVENUE  
MEMPHIS, TN 38105

MARK P. ROBINSON, JR  
ROBINSON, CALCAGNIE & ROBINSON  
620 NEWPORT CENTER DRIVE  
SEVENTH FLOOR  
NEWPORT BEACH, CA 92660

JAY P. SALTZMAN  
SCHOENGOLD & SPORN, P.C.  
CANNON'S WALK  
19 FULTON STREET  
SUITE 406  
NEW YORK, NY 10038

FRANK ROCCO SCHIRRIPIA  
SCHOENGOLD SPORN LAITMAN & LOMETTY, P.C.  
19 FULTON STREET  
SUITE 406  
NEW YORK, NY 10038

JOHN SHIFFMAN  
Philadelphia Inquirer  
601 Market Street  
Philadelphia, PA 19106

LEIGH ANNE SHULTS  
MITCHELL, WILLIAMS, SELLIG, GATES & WOODY  
425 WEST CAPITOL AVENUE  
SUITE 1800  
LITTLE ROCK, AR 72201

JAMES D. SILL  
SILL & MEDLEY  
725 NW ELEVENTH  
OKLAHOMA CITY, OK 73103

JAMES PAUL SIZEMORE  
GIRARDI AND KEES  
1126 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD  
LOS ANGELES, CA 90017

STEPHANIE A. SMITH  
FULBRIGHT & JAMORSKI  
ONE AMERICAN CTR  
600 CONGRESS AVE.  
STE 2400  
AUSTIN, TX 78701 2011

SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION  
1 FRANKLIN PLAZA  
PHILA, PA 19101

KENNETH S SCH  
LANIER LAW FIRM

ANDY SOHRN  
GLANCY BINKOW AND GOLDBERG

SAMUEL P. SPORN  
SCHENGOLD & SPORN, P.C.

6810 FM 1960 WEST  
HOUSTON, TX 77069

1801 AVENUE OF THE STARS  
SUITE 311  
LOS ANGELES, CA 90067

19 FULTON STREET  
SUITE 406  
NEW YORK, NY 10038

PAUL K. STECKRR  
PHILLIPS, LYTLE, KITCHCOCK, BLAINE & HUB  
3400 MARINE MIDLAND CENTER  
BUFFALO, NY 14203

HYUNG P. STEELE  
PEPPER HAMILTON LLP  
3000 TWO LOGAN SQ.  
18TH & ARCH STS  
PHILA, PA 19103-2799

STEPHEN A. SWEDLOW  
SWEDLOW & ASSOCIATES  
205 MICHIGAN AVENUE  
SUITE 1940  
CHICAGO, IL 60601

ANTHONY VALE  
PEPPER HAMILTON LLP  
3000 TWO LOGAN SQ.  
18TH AND ARCH STS.  
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103

TED B. WACKER  
ROBINSON CALCAGNIE & ROBINSON  
620 NEWPORT CENTER DR 7TH FL  
NEWPORT BEACH, CA 92660

GEORGE T. WADDOWS  
DEBRY & ASSOCIATES  
4252 S 700 E  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84107

B. J. WACE  
GLASSMAN, JEFFER, EDWARDS & WACE  
26 N. SECOND STREET  
MEMPHIS, TN 38103

CHRISTOPHER W. WASSON  
PEPPER HAMILTON LLP  
3000 TWO LOGAN SQ  
18TH & ARCH STS  
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-2799

DANIEL W. WHITNEY  
WHITNEY & BOGRIS, LLP  
401 WASHINGTON AVE.  
12TH FL.  
TOWSON, MD 21204

JOSEPH N. WILLIAMS  
PRICE WAICUKAUSKI & RILEY  
301 MASSACHUSETTS AVENUE  
INDIANAPOLIS, IN 46204

MICHAEL J. WILLIAMS  
CELLINO & BARNES PC  
17 COURT ST., 7TH FL  
BUFFALO, NY 14202-5290

JUSTIN C. WITKIN  
AYLSTOCK WITKIN & SASSER, PLC  
P.O. BOX 1147  
CULF GREENE, FL 32561

DONALD F. ZIMMER, JR  
DRINKER BIDDLE AND KEATH  
50 FREMONT STREET  
20TH FLOOR  
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105-2235

Total labels: 109

Not printed due to inadequate address: 12